

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,033	08/26/2002	Hartmut Lang	033033-007	9437	
21839	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
	ANE SWECKER & N	FOX, CHARLES A			
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3652		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

154								
0/		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Sur		10/089,033		LANG, HARTMUT				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
TI MAN NA BATE AN		Charles A. Fox		3652				
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication ap	ppears on the cover	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is to the No period for reply is specified above, Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (COMMUNICATION. If the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ate of this communication. Iss than thirty (30) days, a rephe maximum statutory period period for reply will, by statut three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, howe oly within the statutory mir I will apply and will expire te, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>10 L</u>	December 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-30</u> is/ 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rej 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subjecte	is/are withdra are allowed. ected. d to.	awn from consider						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1 Applicant may not request to Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	8 May 2004 is/are: a hat any objection to the t(s) including the correct	a) accepted or be e drawing(s) be held ction is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of3. Copies of the certified	None of: the priority documer the priority documer fied copies of the priority the International Bures	nts have been recents have been recents have been recently documents have 17.2	eived. eived in Applicati ave been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ving Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/089,033 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

Drawings

The drawings filed on May 18, 2004 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated below. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance. Figures 4 and 6-11 show element 93, this same element is described in the specification as element 93A and 93b. The specification and drawings must be in agreement.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 2. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claim 3 has the shelves of any group as being integral with each other. The definition of integral is to "form as a unit with another part". This is the same unit that will be fashioned by the limitations of claim 2. As such claim 3 is a duplicate of claim 2 in terms of the actual meanings of the limitations therein. Claim 3 must be cancelled prior to the application being allowed.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on December 10, 2004 have been entered into the record.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,2 and 4-30 are allowed. Claim 1 has the feature of a first finger and a second retaining finger working in conjunction to remove an article from a shelf and

Application/Control Number: 10/089,033 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

place it on a storage and retrieval device. The closest prior art of Duncan and Anderson does not teach or suggest using fingers to unload the trays.

Claims 13 and 26 are allowed for the reasons given in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2004 with respect to the warning for claim 3 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As discussed above to make something integral means to connect it to other parts. As such claim 3 is a substantial duplicate of claim 2 and it is now objected to.

Applicant's arguments filed December 10, 2004, with respect to claims 1,6,7,29 and 30 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1,6,7,29 and 30 has been withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 703-605-4294. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,033

Art Unit: 3652

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAF

CAF

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600